

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/047,914 | 01/15/2002 | Hiroshi Tanaka | 4041J-000503 | 2496 |
| 27572 7 | 590 09/10/2003 | | | |
| HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELI | D HILLS, MI 48303 | • | ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3743 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Office Action Summary

Applicant(s) Application No.

| | Atkinson | 3743 | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet with the cor | respondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE | | | | | |
| earned patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 7 | his action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) | is/ | are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is | /are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) P Claims | are subject to res | triction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b). disapproved by the Examiner | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ =All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: | 1 | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | | en No | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) P | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | <u> </u> | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | |

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3743

Election/Restriction

This application discloses and contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) The species as illustrated in Figures 1-3
- B) The species as illustrated in Figure 4
- C) The species as illustrated in Figure 5
- D) The species as illustrated in Figure 6
- E) The species as illustrated in Figures 8-10
- F) The species as illustrated in Figures 12A-12C
- G) The species as illustrated in Figures 13A-13B
- H) The species as illustrated in Figure 14
- I) The species as illustrated in Figures 15A-15C
- J) The species as illustrated in Figures 16A-16B
- K) The species as illustrated in Figures 17A-18
- L) The species as illustrated in Figures 19A-19B
- M) The species as illustrated in Figures 20-23
- N) The species as illustrated in Figures 24A-25

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,914 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

9/8/200**2**

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER